

Syntheses and Structures of Magnesium and Zinc Boraamidinates: EPR and DFT Investigations of Li, Mg, Zn, B, and In Complexes of the [PhB(N^tBu)₂]^{•–} Anion Radical

Tristram Chivers,* Dana J. Eisler, Chantall Fedorchuk, Gabriele Schatte, and Heikki M. Tuononen

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

René T. Boeré

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1K 3M4

Received November 18, 2005

The first magnesium and zinc boraamidinate (bam) complexes have been synthesized via metathetical reactions between dilithio bams and Grignard reagents or MCl₂ (M = Mg, Zn). The following new classes of bam complexes have been structurally characterized: heterobimetallic spirocycles {(L) μ -Li[PhB(μ -NⁱBu)₂]₂M (**6a,b**, M = Mg, L = Et₂O, THF; **6c**, M = Zn, L = Et₂O); bis(organomagnesium) complexes {[PhB(μ_3 -NⁱBu)₂](MgⁱBu)₂(μ_3 -Cl)Li(OEt₂)₃} (**8**) and {[PhB(μ_3 -NⁱBu)₂](MgR)₂(THF)₂} (**9a**, R = ⁱPr; **9b**, R = Ph); mononuclear complex {[PhB(μ -NDipp)₂]Mg-(OEt₂)₂} (**10**). Oxidation of **6a** or **6c** with iodine produces persistent pink (**16a**, M = Mg) or purple (**16b**, M = Zn) neutral radicals {L_x- μ -Li[PhB(μ -NⁱBu)₂]₂M}• (L = solvent molecule), which are shown by EPR spectra supported by DFT calculations to be *C*₃-symmetric species with spin density localized on one of the bam ligands. In contrast, characterization of the intensely colored neutral radicals {[PhB(μ -NⁱBu)₂]₂M]• (**5c**, M = In, dark green; **5d**, M = B, dark purple) reveals that the spin density is equally delocalized over all four nitrogen atoms in these *D*_{2d}-symmetric spirocyclic systems. Oxidation of the dimeric dilithio complex {Li₂[PhB(μ_4 -NⁱBu)₂]₂ with iodine produces the monomeric neutral radical {[PhB(μ -NⁱBu)₂]Li(OEt₂)_x}• (**17**), characterized by EPR spectra and DFT calculations. These findings establish that the bam anionic radical [PhB(NⁱBu)₂]•⁻ can be stabilized by coordination to a variety of early main-group metal centers to give neutral radicals whose relative stabilities are compared and discussed.

Introduction

The coordination chemistry of the monoanionic amidinate (am) ligand $[RC(NR')_2]^-$ (Chart 1) has been extensively studied.¹ For example, am complexes of all the s-block elements have been reported.¹ In the context of the current work, a variety of magnesium am complexes have been identified. These include mono- and bis-am complexes of the general formulas $[RC(\mu-NR')_2]MgX$ and $[RC(\mu-NR')_2]_2Mg$ (R, R' = alkyl, aryl, SiMe₃; X = alkyl, aryl, halide)² in which the Mg centers are usually stabilized by a Lewis base. In addition, dinuclear Mg complexes with bridging ams {[RC-

 $(\mu$ -NR')₂][μ -RC(NR')₂ $\kappa^2 N$,N']Mg}₂,^{2d,3} complexes involving pendant ams,⁴ and mixed Mg/Al mono-am complexes {[MeC(μ -NR')₂][Me₂Al(μ -NⁱPr)₂]Mg} have been reported.⁵ Potential applications of Mg am complexes include their use

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: chivers@ucalgary.ca. Telephone: (403) 220-5741. Fax: (403) 289-9488. (1) For reviews, see: (a) Barker, J.; Kilner, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. **1994**, *133*, 219. (b) Edelmann, F. T. Coord. Chem. Rev. **1994**, *137*, 403.

^{10.1021/}ic0520014 CCC: \$33.50 © 2006 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/08/2006

For examples, see: (a) Boeré, R. T.; Cole, M. L.; Junk, P. C. New. J. Chem. 2005, 29, 128. (b) Schmidt, J. A. R.; Arnold, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 2890. (c) Xia, A.; El-Kaderi, H. M.; Heeg, M. J.; Winter, C. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 682, 224. (d) Sadique, A. R.; Heeg, M. J.; Winter, C. H. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6349. (e) Srinivas, B.; Chang, C. C.; Chen, C. H.; Chiang, M. Y.; Chen. I.-T.; Wang, Y.; Lee, G.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 957. (f) Walther, D.; Gebhardt, P.; Fischer, R.; Kreher, U.; Görls, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1998, 281, 181.

⁽³⁾ For an example, see: Cotton, F. A.; Haefner, S. C.; Matonic, J. H.; Wang, X.; Murillo, C. A. Polyhedron 1997, 16, 541.

⁽⁴⁾ For examples, see: (a) Chen, C.-T.; Huang, C.-A.; Tzeng, Y.-R.; Huang, B.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2003, 2585. (b) Kincaid, K.; Gerlach, C. P.; Giesbrecht, G. R.; Hagdorn, J. R.; Whitener, G, D.; Shafir, A.; Arnold, J. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5360.

Chart 1



as reagents for the synthesis of transition-metal complexes and in chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Group 13 am complexes are also of interest as potential single-source precursors to nitride materials and as selective reagents and catalysts. The most important classes include mono-am complexes [RC(μ -NR')₂]MX₂ (M = group 13 element; R, R' = alkyl, aryl, SiMe₃; X = halide, hydride, alkyl, aryl),⁶ bis-am complexes [RC(μ -NR')₂]₂MX,^{6b,f,7} tris-am complexes [RC(μ -NR')₂]₃M,^{6f,8} dinuclear group 13 complexes with bridging am ligands, and complexes of the type {[μ -RC-(NR')₂ κ^2N ,N']MX₂}₂ and {[μ -RC(NR')₂ κ^2N ,N']₂MX}₂,^{6f,9} incorporating pendant ams.^{4a,10}

By comparison, the coordination chemistry of the dianionic boraamidinate (bam) ligand $[RB(NR')_2]^{2-}$ (isoelectronic with am, Chart 1) is still in its infancy. For s-block metal complexes, only lithium derivatives have been reported.¹¹

(10) For an example, see: Doyle, D.; Gun'ko, Y. K.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 4093.

Chivers et al.

These reagents have provided the predominant method of transferring the bam ligand to other metal centers.^{11e} The first X-ray structural determinations of dilithio bam complexes have shown that the extent of aggregation is influenced by the substituent (R) on boron, where the fundamental building block is the Li₂N₂B unit A (Chart 1).^{11b,c} In the case of the dimers $\{Li_2[RB(\mu_4-N^tBu)_2]\}_2$ (1a, R = Ph; 1b, ⁿBu; 1c, 'Bu), two of these units participate in a face-to-face interaction through lithium-nitrogen contacts to give the bicapped cube B. In the unique example of a trimer $\{Li_2[RB(\mu_4-N^tBu)_2]\}_3$ (2, R = Me), three Li_2N_2B units associate edge-on through lithium-nitrogen contacts to give the tricapped hexagonal prism C. The solvated complex $\{(THF)_2(\mu-THF)Li_2[PhB(\mu_3-NDipp)_2]\}$ (3) (Chart 1)^{11d} is a unique example of a monomeric dilithio boraamidinate. An intriguing observation during investigations of the dilithio bam complexes 1a-c was the formation of red solutions upon exposure to air or during the course of metathetical reactions with main-group element halides.^{11c} In related polyimido anions of p-block elements, such behavior is associated with the formation of free radicals.12

Until 1993, reports on p-block bam derivatives were restricted to groups 14-16,^{11a,c,e,13} with the exception of {[μ -Mes*B(NMe)₂ $\kappa^2 N$,N']AlMe}₂ for which a crystal structure has not been reported.¹³ⁱ Early investigations of the coordination chemistry of the bam dianion with transition metals were limited to group 4,^{11a,14} but recently, Nocera and co-workers have described complexes of groups 5 and 6 including octahedral tris-bam complexes and a paramagnetic vanadium-(IV) complex.¹⁵ We have described the characterization of the spirocyclic anions {[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂M}⁻ (**4a**, M = Al, **4b**, M = Ga; **4c**, M = In) as their lithium derivatives^{16,17} and showed that the oxidation of **4a,b** with iodine produces

- (11) (a) Fest, D.; Habben, C. D.; Meller, A.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Stalke, D.; Pauer, F. *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 703. (b) Brask, J. K.; Chivers, T.; Schatte, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1805. (c) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Brask, J. K. *Can. J. Chem.* **2002**, *80*, 821. (d) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Parvez, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 2643. (e) Blais, P.; Brask, J. K.; Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **2002**, *822*, 195.
- (12) Armstrong, A. F.; Chivers, T.; Tuononen, H. M.; Parvez, M.; Boeré, R. T. *Inorg. Chem* **2005**, *44*, 7981 and references therein.
- (13) (a) Fusstetter, H.; Nöth, H. Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 3672. (b) Gudat, D.; Niecke, E.; Nieger, M.; Paetzold, P. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 565. (c) Heine, A.; Fest, D.; Stalke, D.; Habben, C. D.; Meller, A.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 742. (d) Habben, C. D.; Heine, A.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Stalke, D.; Bühl, M.; Schleyer, P. V. R. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 47. (e) Habben, C. D.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Noltemeyer, M. Z. Naturforsch. 1991, 46b, 625. (f) Habben, C. D.; Heine, A.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Stalke, D. Z. Naturforsch. 1992, 47b, 1367. (g) Paetzold, P.; Hahnfeld, D.; Englert, U.; Wojnowski, W.; Dreczewski, B.; Pawelec, Z.; Walz, L. Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 1073. (h) Koch, H.-J.; Roesky, H. W.; Besser, S.; Herbst-Irmer, R. Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 571. (i) Geschwentner, M.; Noltemeyer, M.; Elter, G.; Meller, A. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1994, 620, 1403. (j) Chivers, T.; Gao, X.; Parvez, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2549. (k) Luthin, W.; Stratmann, J.-G.; Elter, G.; Meller, A.; Heine, A.; Gornitzka, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 1995. (1) Albrecht, T.; Elter, G.; Noltemeyer, M.; Meller, A. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 1514.
- (14) Koch, H.-J.; Roesky, H. W.; Bohra, R.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 598.
- (15) (a) Manke, D. R.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2003, *345*, 235.
 (b) Manke, D. R.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *42*, 4431. (c) Manke, D. R.; Loh, Z.-H.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, *43*, 3618.
- (16) Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Parvez, M. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 2084.

⁽⁵⁾ For an example, see: Li, M.-D.; Chang, C. C.; Wang, Y.; Lee, G.-H.; Organometallics 1996, 15, 2571.

⁽⁶⁾ For examples, see: (a) Ergezinger, C.; Weller, F.; Dehnicke, K. Z. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, 43b, 1621. (b) Coles, M. P.; Swenson, D. C.; Jordan, R. F. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5183. (c) Coles, M. P.; Swenson, D. C.; Jordan, R. F. Organometallics 1998, 17, 4042. (d) Kottmair-Maieron, D.; Lechler, R.; Weidlein, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1991, 593, 111. (e) Lechler, R.; Hausen, H.-D.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 359, 1. (f) Barker, J.; Blacker, N. C.; Phillips, P. R.; Alcock, N. W.; Errington, W.; Wallbridge, M. G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 431.

⁽⁷⁾ For an example, see: Duchateau, R.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Chem. Commun. 1996, 223.

⁽⁸⁾ For an example, see: Zhou, Y.; Richeson, D. S. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 2448.

⁽⁹⁾ For examples, see: (a) Hausen, H.-D.; Gerstner, F.; Shwarz, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 145, 277. (b) Zhou, Y.; Richeson, D. S. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 1423.

Scheme 1



stable¹⁸ neutral radicals {[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂M}• (**5a**, M = Al; **5b**, M = Ga), which were characterized in the solid state by X-ray crystallography. A combination of EPR spectroscopic analyses and DFT calculations showed that spin delocalization is uniform over both bam ligands in **5a**,**b** and that the spirocyclic structure is retained in solution (Scheme 1).¹⁷

Herein, we describe studies that extend the coordination chemistry of the bam ligand to magnesium and zinc. The synthesis and characterization of a series of magnesium bams, including the heterobimetallic spirocyclic complexes {(Et₂O)- μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]}₂M (**6a**, M = Mg; **6c**, M = Zn), are discussed. The oxidation of **6a**,**c** with iodine produces persistent¹⁸ radicals, which have been characterized by a combination of EPR spectra and DFT calculations. In addition, we have extended our earlier studies of group 13 spirocyclic bam radicals {[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂M} to the characterization of the indium- and boron-containing analogues (Scheme 1; **5c**, M = In; **5d**, M = B). Finally, we describe the identification of the paramagnetic red species formed upon oxidation of dilithio bams.^{11c}

Results and Discussion

Syntheses and Spectroscopic Characterization of Mg and Zn bams. The reaction of Li₂[PhB(μ_3 -N'Bu)₂] (1a) and a Grignard reagent in a 1:1 stoichiometry was attempted in an effort to generate the mixed-metal Mg/Li mono-bam 7 (Scheme 2, method 1). However, the reaction with 'BuMgCl affords the spirocyclic dilithio magnesium bis-bam complex {(Et₂O) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂Mg (**6a**, Scheme 2).





The proposed pathway involves the initial production of **7**, which then undergoes redistribution to produce **6a** (89%) with the elimination of Mg^tBu₂ that was detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy ($\sim \delta$ 0.2). The generality of method 1 (Scheme 2) was demonstrated in the reactions of **1a** with a variety of Grignard reagents in diethyl ether, including MesMgBr, ⁿBuMgCl, and MeMgBr, all of which produce **6a**. Similarly, the THF derivative {(THF) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]}₂Mg (**6b**) is obtained from the reactions of the Grignard reagents PhMgCl or ⁱPrMgCl in THF and **1a** in a 1:1 stoichiometry.

Complexes of type 6 may also be prepared by a direct approach (method 2, Scheme 2). Treatment of 2 equiv of 1a with MCl₂ (M = Mg or Zn) in diethyl ether at -78 °C afforded 6a and the zinc analogue {(Et₂O)µ-Li[PhB(µ-Nt- Bu_{2} 2Zn (6c) in 75 and 51% yields, respectively. Colorless crystals of **6a**,**c**, grown from concentrated solutions in Et₂O, were characterized by CHN analyses, ¹H, ¹¹B, ⁷Li, and ¹³C NMR spectra, and X-ray structural analyses (vide infra). For both **6a,c**, the ¹H NMR spectra show resonances for the Ph and 'Bu substituents and the coordinated Et₂O molecules in the appropriate relative intensities. However, the ¹H NMR spectrum for **6a** displays two singlets for the four ^tBu groups attached to the amide nitrogens of the bam; consistently, two signals assigned to the methyl groups of these 'Bu substituents are observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. In contrast, only one ^tBu resonance is observed in the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for **6c**. The ¹¹B NMR spectra of 6a-c exhibit broad resonances at δ 37–38, consistent with threecoordinate boron centers.¹⁹ Singlets are observed in the ⁷Li NMR spectra of 6a-c at δ 1.44, 1.43, and 1.16, respectively.

When the reaction of **1a** and 'BuMgCl was carried out in a 1:2 stoichiometry, colorless crystals of the bis(organomagnesium) complex {[PhB(μ_3 -N'Bu)₂](Mg'Bu)₂(μ_3 -Cl)Li(O-Et₂)₃} (**8**, Scheme 3) were isolated. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** shows resonances for the Ph and 'Bu substituents, along with the coordinated Et₂O molecules, in the appropriate relative intensities. The signals for the methyl groups of the two 'Bu substituents attached to the amide nitrogen atoms appear at δ 1.44, while those on the two magnesium atoms are found at δ 1.12. The ¹¹B NMR resonance is observed at

⁽¹⁷⁾ Chivers, T.; Eisler, D. J.; Fedorchuk, C.; Schatte, G.; Tuononen, H. M.; Boeré, R. T. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3930.

⁽¹⁸⁾ The term "stable", as applied to radicals, is taken to indicate "a species that can be isolated and shows no sign of decomposition under an inert atmosphere at room temperature", whereas a "persistent" radical has "a relatively long lifetime under the conditions it is generated": Power, P. P. *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 789.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Nöth, H.; Wrackmeyer, B. In Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of Boron Compounds; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1978; pp 74– 101.



 δ 39. A resonance is also observed at δ -0.37 in the ⁷Li NMR spectrum of **8** consistent with the presence of LiCl in this complex.

Treatment of **1a** with 2 equiv of solutions of ⁱPrMgCl or PhMgCl in THF afforded {[PhB(μ_3 -N^tBu)_2](MgⁱPr)₂(THF)₂} (**9a**) and {[PhB(μ_3 -N^tBu)_2](MgPh)₂(THF)₂} (**9b**), respectively (Scheme 3). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **9a** shows resonances for the Ph, 'Bu, and ⁱPr substituents and coordinated THF molecules, with relative intensities indicative of symmetricalequivalent 'Bu and ⁱPr substituents and the presence of two coordinated THF molecules in solution. Similarly, the intensities of the resonances observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **9b** indicate three Ph groups, two 'Bu substituents, and two coordinated THF molecules. The ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts for **9a,b** are δ 39 and 40, respectively. No signals are observed in the ⁷Li NMR spectra of **9a,b**. Crystals of **9a,b** suitable for X-ray structural determinations could not be obtained.

The reaction of PhB[N(H)Dipp]₂^{11d} with ^{n/s}Bu₂Mg (1:1) in boiling hexane produced the mono-bam complex {[PhB-(μ -NDipp)₂]Mg(OEt₂)₂} (**10**, Scheme 4), which was identified by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and an X-ray structural analysis (vide infra). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **10** exhibits only one resonance for both the CH and CH₃ groups of the Dipp substituents; the corresponding signals are observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The ¹¹B NMR resonance of δ 31 for **10** is shifted upfield compared to those observed for **6**, **8**, and **9** (δ (¹¹B) 37–40). Complete deprotonation was also indicated by the lack of an N–H stretch in the IR spectrum. For comparison, the reaction of ^{n/s}Bu₂Mg with *p*-tolylC[N(H)Dipp](NDipp) produces the bis-substituted square-planar complex [*p*-tolylC(μ -NDipp)₂]₂Mg.^{2a}

X-ray Structures of Mg and Zn bam Complexes. The X-ray structures of **6a,c** show that the spirocyclic dianion $\{[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]_2M\}^{2-}$ (M = Mg, Zn) is *N,N'*-chelated to two monosolvated lithium cations. The molecular geometry and atomic numbering scheme for **6a,c** are shown in Figure 1, and pertinent structural parameters are summarized in Table 1. The two four-membered NBNM (M = Mg, Zn) rings are puckered with the M and B atoms on one side of the plane, while the two four-coordinate nitrogen atoms reside on the other side of the plane.

The boron atoms in **6a**,**c** exhibit distorted trigonal-planar geometries with substantial deviations from the ideal bond angles, although the sum of the angles is 360° in each case. The geometry about the nitrogen atoms is distorted tetrahedral (bond angle ranges: ca. $77-135^{\circ}$) with the smallest values associated with the B–N–Li angle. The NBN angles



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $\{(Et_2O)\mu$ -Li[PhB $(\mu$ -N'Bu)_2]\}_2M (M = Mg, **6a**; M = Zn, **6c**). For clarity, H atoms are omitted and only the α -carbons of the 'Bu substituents and oxygen atoms of the Et₂O molecules are shown.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for ${(Et_2O)\mu-Li[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]}_2M$ (M = Mg, 6a; M = Zn, 6c)

param	6a	6c	param	6a	6c
M(1)-N(1)	2.093(1)	2.076(4)	M(1)-N(3)	2.088(1)	2.024(4)
M(1) - N(2)	2.086(1)	2.013(4)	M(1)-N(4)	2.086(1)	2.067(4)
B(1) - N(1)	1.446(2)	1.436(8)	B(2)-N(3)	1.446(2)	1.443(7)
B(1)-N(2)	1.449(2)	1.443(7)	B(2)-N(4)	1.447(2)	1.425(7)
N(1)-Li(1)	2.023(3)	2.01(1)	N(3)-Li(2)	2.035(3)	2.07(1)
N(2)-Li(1)	2.033(3)	2.03(1)	N(4)-Li(2)	2.045(3)	2.03(1)
N(1)-M(1)-N(3)	125.45(5)	131.0(2)	N(2)-M(1)-N(1)	67.54(5)	68.4(2)
N(4) - M(1) - N(1)	138.54(5)	128.8(2)	N(2)-M(1)-N(3)	138.09(5)	136.6(2)
N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	106.7(1)	106.0(5)	N(3)-B(2)-N(4)	107.1(1)	106.9(4)
N(1)-B(1)-C(5)	126.7(1)	126.8(5)	N(3)-B(2)-C(23)	124.8(1)	126.5(5)
N(2)-B(1)-C(5)	126.6(1)	127.1(5)	N(4)-B(2)-C(23)	128.1(1)	126.5(5)
B(1) - N(1) - Li(1)	77.8(1)	78.3(4)	B(1)-N(2)-Li(1)	77.4(1)	77.5(4)
C(1) - N(1) - M(1)	132.21(9)	132.0(3)	C(11)-N(2)-M(1)	134.57(9)	132.3(3)
B(2)-N(3)-Li(2)	77.2(1)	77.5(4)	B(2)-N(4)-Li(2)	76.9(1)	79.2(4)
Li(2)-N(3)-C(19)	134.0(1)	135.0(4)	M(1) - N(4) - C(29)	134.45(9)	134.7(3)

in **6a,c** are equal within experimental error, while the B–N distances are intermediate between those of single- and double-bond values.²⁰ The geometry about the central metal atom is distorted tetrahedral [bond angle range: M = Mg, 67.54(5)–138.54(5)°; M = Zn, 68.4(2)–136.6(2)°] as a result of geometric constraints imposed by the bam ligands. The metal–nitrogen bond distances observed in complexes **6a,c** are typical for Mg–N and Zn–N single bonds.²¹

The X-ray structural analysis of {[PhB(μ_3 -N'Bu)_2](Mg^t-Bu)_2(μ_3 -Cl)Li(OEt₂)_3} (8) reveals that each nitrogen atom of the bam ligand coordinates to two magnesium *tert*-butyl groups. The molecular geometry and atomic numbering scheme for 8 are shown in Figure 2, while pertinent structural parameters are summarized in Table 2. The Mg–N bond distances are equal within experimental error (ca. 2.13 Å); cf. ca. 2.09 Å in the spirocyclic complex 6a. The magnesium atoms in the dimagnesiated derivative {[PhB(μ -N'Bu)_2](Mg^t-Bu)_2} are also bridged by the chloride ion of a ClLi(OEt₂)₃ molecule. The structure of 8 is reminiscent of the framework observed for the dilithiated complex 3,^{11d} with the bridging THF ligand in 3 replaced by ClLi(OEt₂)₃ in 8.

⁽²⁰⁾ Paetzold, P. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon 1994, 93-94, 39.

⁽²¹⁾ For an example, see: Buijink, J. K.; Noltemeyer, M.; Edelmann, F. T. Z. Naturforsch., B 1991, 46, 1328. A search for Mg–N distances in the Cambridge Structural Database provided 1543 values with a mean of 2.13 Å and 948 distances in the range 1.97–2.15 Å. Similarly, for Zn–N distances, 10 000 values were found with a mean of 2.11 Å and 5174 distances in the range 2.00–2.12 Å.



Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot of { $[PhB(\mu_3-N^tBu)_2](Mg^tBu)_2(\mu_3-Cl)-Li(OEt_2)_3$ } (8). For clarity, H atoms are omitted and only the α -carbons of the 'Bu substituents and oxygen atoms of the Et₂O molecules are shown.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for $\{[PhB(\mu_3-N^{i}Bu)_2](Mg^{i}Bu)_2(\mu_3-Cl)Li(OEt_2)_3\}$ (8)

Mg(1) - N(1)	2.139(2)	Mg(2) - N(1)	2.131(2)
Mg(1) - N(2)	2.132(2)	Mg(2) - N(2)	2.129(2)
Mg(1)-Cl(1)	2.536(1)	Mg(2)-Cl(1)	2.530(1)
Mg(1) - C(15)	2.131(3)	Mg(2) - C(19)	2.138(3)
B(1) - N(1)	1.446(3)	B(1) - N(2)	1.456(3)
N(2)-Mg(1)-N(1)	65.53(8)	N(2)-Mg(2)-N(1)	65.73(8)
N(1)-Mg(1)-Cl(1)	95.36(6)	N(1)-Mg(2)-Cl(1)	95.76(7)
N(1)-Mg(1)-C(15)	138.9(1)	N(1)-Mg(2)-C(19)	137.8(1)
C(15)-Mg(1)-Cl(1)	115.37(8)	C(19) - Mg(2) - Cl(1)	115.11(9)
N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	105.6(2)	N(1)-B(1)-C(1)	126.7(2)
N(2)-B(1)-C(1)	127.7(2)		

The mean B–N bond distances [1.451(3) Å] in **8** are equal within experimental error; cf. |d(B-N)| = 1.446(4) Å in **3**. While the Li–O distances involving the bridging THF molecule in **3** are significantly different [2.270(7) and 2.013(6) Å], the chloride ion in **8** bridges the magnesium atoms symmetrically [2.536(1) Å, Mg(1)–Cl(1); 2.530(1) Å, Mg(2)–Cl(1)]. The lithio am complex Li[ⁿBuC(N^tBu)₂] has been shown to entrap LiX salts to form complexes such as {(Li[ⁿBuC(N^tBu)₂])₂·LiCl·THF}₂.²²

The boron atom in **8** exhibits a distorted trigonal-planar geometry [$\angle 360.0(2)^{\circ}$], while the magnesium atoms adopt distorted tetrahedral geometries [bond angle range ca. 66–138.5°] with the smallest angles associated with the N-Mg-N angle. The NBN angle [105.6(2)°] in **8** is similar to those in **6a** (ca. 107°), but significantly smaller than that in **3** [111.4(3)°].^{11d} The larger angle in the latter presumably reflects the accommodation of the bulkier NDipp groups in **3**. As a result of the two four-coordinate nitrogen centers, the two four-membered N-B-N-Mg rings in **8** are puckered in a manner similar to that observed for **6a**.

The X-ray structure of { $[PhB(\mu-NDipp)_2]Mg(OEt_2)_2$ } (10) shows that the [PhB(NDipp)_2]²⁻ ligand is coordinated to a single Mg²⁺ cation in an *N*,*N*'-chelated fashion. The coordination sphere is completed by oxygen atoms from two THF molecules. The molecular geometry and atomic numbering scheme are shown in Figure 3, while pertinent structural parameters are summarized in Table 3.

The Mg-N distances of ca. 1.98 Å in **10** are significantly shorter than those observed in the dimagnesiated complex **8** (ca. 2.13 Å). The formal 2+ charge on magnesium in **10** is a likely major contributor to the observed difference. The magnesium center in **10** adopts a distorted tetrahedral





Figure 3. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $\{[PhB(\mu-NDipp)_2]Mg(OEt_2)_2\}$ (10). For clarity, H atoms are omitted and only the oxygen atoms of the Et₂O molecules are shown.

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for $\{[PhB(\mu-NDipp)_2]Mg(OEt_2)_2\}$ (10)

Mg(1) - N(1)	1.985(3)	Mg(1) - N(2)	1.973(3)
Mg(1) - O(1)	2.017(3)	Mg(1) - O(2)	2.015(3)
B(1) - N(1)	1.439(5)	B(1) - N(2)	1.449(5)
N(1) - Mg(1) - O(1)	129.7(1)	N(1)-Mg(1)-O(2)	117.4(1)
N(1) - Mg(1) - N(2)	74.7(1)	O(1) - Mg(1) - O(2)	96.4(1)
B(1) - N(1) - C(1)	125.4(3)	B(1) - N(2) - C(19)	125.7(3)
B(1) - N(1) - Mg(1)	86.3(2)	B(1) - N(2) - Mg(1)	86.4(2)
C(1) - N(1) - Mg(1)	147.4(2)	C(19) - N(2) - Mg(1)	145.2(2)
N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	112.5(3)	N(2)-B(1)-C(13)	123.2(3)
N(1)-B(1)-C(13)	124.3(3)		

geometry [bond angle range: $74.7(1)-129.7(1)^{\circ}$] with the smallest angle associated with the N-Mg-N bond angle. The B-N distances [1.439(5) Å, B(1)-N(1); 1.449(5) Å, B(1)-N(2)] reflect substantial nitrogen-boron multiple-bond character.

The N–B–N bond angle of 112.5(3)° in **10** is larger than those observed in **6a** and **8** (ca. 107°) but similar to that in the dilithiated bam complex **3** [111.4(3)°].^{11d} The larger NBN angles observed in **3** and **10** accommodate the bulkier NDipp groups in the bam ligand in these complexes. The geometries at the boron and nitrogen atoms of the [PhB(NDipp)₂]^{2–} ligand in **10** are distorted trigonal planar [\angle (deg): 360.0(3), B(1); 359.1(3), N(1); 357.3(3), N(2)]. The four-membered Mg(1)–N(2)–B(1)–N(1) ring is essentially planar (0.0040 Å mean deviation).

Syntheses and Characterization of Group 13 bam Complexes. We have previously reported the syntheses and structural characterization of the monolithiated spirocyclic complexes { $(Et_2O)\mu$ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)_2]_2M} (11b, M = Ga; 11c, M = In) by the reactions of 1a with MCl₃ in a 2:1 molar ratio.¹⁶ Attempts to prepare the analogous aluminum complex { $(Et_2O)\mu$ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)_2]_2Al} (11a) by this method were unsuccessful. Complex 11a can be obtained, however, in a two-step process involving the initial preparation of the mono-bam complex {[PhB(μ -N'Bu)_2]AlCl(OEt₂)} (12), which can be isolated in 60% yield from the reaction of 1a and AlCl₃ in a 1:1 molar ratio, followed by treatment of 12 with 1a (Scheme 5).



Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $\{[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]AlCl(OEt_2)\}$ (12). For clarity, H atoms are omitted.

Scheme 5



Table 4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for $\{[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]AlCl(OEt_2)\}$ (12)

Al(1)-Cl(1)	2.1402(5)	Al(1) - O(1)	1.8641(9)
Al(1) - N(1)	1.806(1)	Al(1) - N(2)	1.802(1)
B(1) - N(1)	1.442(2)	B(1) - N(2)	1.455(2)
N(1) - Al(1) - N(2)	79.99(4)	N(1) - Al(1) - Cl(1)	124.18(4)
N(1) - Al(1) - O(1)	115.05(5)	N(2) - Al(1) - Cl(1)	124.62(4)
B(1)-N(1)-C(10)	132.26(9)	B(1)-N(2)-C(20)	131.93(9)
B(1) - N(1) - Al(1)	86.83(7)	B(1) - N(2) - Al(1)	86.62(7)
C(10) - N(1) - Al(1)	140.19(8)	C(20) - N(2) - Al(1)	140.40(8)
N(1)-B(1)-C(1)	128.5(1)	N(2)-B(1)-C(1)	125.2(1)
N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	106.36(9)		

The structure of 12 was established by a single-crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 4), and pertinent structural parameters are summarized in Table 4.23 Solvation of the aluminum center by diethyl ether apparently prevents dimerization; cf. the dimeric structure of the unsolvated complex {[PhB(μ_3 -N^tBu)(µ-N^tBu)]GaCl}₂.¹⁶ The geometry around the aluminum center in 12 is distorted tetrahedral [bond angle range: 79.99(4)-124.62(4)°]. The bond angle N-Al-N is acute $[79.99(4)^{\circ}]$ but larger than the mean value of $70.91(5)^{\circ}$ observed for aluminum am complexes of the type $RC(\mu$ - $NR'_{2}AlCl_{2} [R = Me, R' = {}^{i}Pr; R = {}^{t}Bu, R' = {}^{i}Pr, Cy].^{6b}$ The $[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]Al$ core in **12** forms a nearly planar metallacycle with an N(2)-Al(1)-N(1)-B(1) torsion angle of 3.05(7)°. The boron and three-coordinate nitrogen atoms exhibit distorted trigonal-planar coordination (\angle ca. 360°), the latter atoms displaying a larger disparity [bond angle



range at B(1), $106.36(9)-128.5(1)^{\circ}$; bond angle range at N(1) and N(2), ca. $87-140^{\circ}$].

The Al–Cl distance of 2.1402(5) Å in **12** falls between those reported for aluminum mono- and bis-am complexes, ca. 2.10 and 2.19 Å, respectively.^{6b} The B–N distances [1.442(2) and 1.455(2) Å] indicate significant multiple-bond character. The Al–N bond lengths [1.806(1) and 1.802(1) Å] are shorter by ca. 0.07 Å than the Al–N distances in related mono-am complexes,^{6b} presumably as a result of the dianionic charge of the bam ligand in **12**. There are no intermolecular Al····Cl interactions present in the unit cell of **12**.

The reaction of **12** with **1a** in a 1:1 molar ratio in boiling benzene in the presence of a small amount of diethyl ether produces { $(Et_2O)\mu$ -Li[PhB $(\mu$ -N'Bu)_2]_2Al} (**11a**) in 33% yield (Scheme 6). The multinuclear NMR spectra of **11a** are consistent with a spirocyclic structure similar to that previously established for **11b,c**.¹⁶ The ¹H NMR spectrum of **11a** in C₆D₆ shows resonances for the Ph and N'Bu groups, in addition to the coordinated Et₂O molecule, with the appropriate relative intensities. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **11a** in C₆D₆ at room temperature reveal the equivalence of all four N'Bu environments indicative of a fluxional process involving the lithium center, as observed for **11b,c**.¹⁶ The ²⁷Al, ¹¹B, and ⁷Li NMR spectra of **11a** exhibit singlets at δ 488.2, 36, and 0.89, respectively.

In an attempt to synthesize the boron analogue of **11a**, the reaction of **1a** with BF₃·OEt₂ in a 2:1 molar ratio was investigated. The ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra recorded as a function of time revealed the existence of more than one reaction pathway. The initial observation of two equally intense resonances at δ 43 and 30 in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum (indicative of inequivalent three-coordinate boron environments), associated with 'Bu and Ph resonances with relative intensities of 18:5 in the ¹H NMR spectrum, is attributed to the formation of the complex [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]BF (**13**) (Scheme 6). Subsequently, the generation of the spirocyclic

⁽²³⁾ Although complex 12 was mentioned in the preliminary communication,¹⁷ no structural details were given.



Figure 5. Photograph of diethyl ether solutions of the *neutral* spirocyclic radicals { $[PhB(\mu-N'Bu)_2]_2M$ } (left, M = In, **5c**; right, M = B, **5d**).

complex **11d** is indicated by the appearance of a broad resonance at δ 22 and a sharp singlet at δ 0 in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum (approximate intensity ratio 2:1), indicative of three- and four-coordinate boron environments, respectively.¹⁹ The borazine [PhF₂B₃N₃'Bu₃] (**14**) has been isolated from this reaction and identified by X-ray crystallography and multinuclear NMR spectra.²⁴ The NMR resonances for **14** grow at the expense of those attributed to **13** during the course of the reaction, and the formation of **14** is favored relative to **11d** when the reaction is carried out on a 1:1 molar ratio. A possible route to **14** involving the cyclodimerization of **13** to an eight-membered ring **15** is shown in Scheme 6.²⁵ However, in view of the known stability of related B₄N₄ rings, e.g., (CIBN'Bu)₄,²⁶ the direct formation of **14** from **13** cannot be ruled out.

EPR and DFT Investigations of Early Main-Group Element and Zn bam Radicals. In a preliminary communication, we described the one-electron oxidation of the spirocyclic anions **4a,b** to give the stable neutral radicals **5a** (dark red) and **5b** (dark green) (Scheme 1).^{17,18} In this work, we discuss the characterization of the indium and boron analogues, **5c,d**, respectively.

The oxidation of **11c** with 0.5 equiv of iodine generates a dark green solution of complex **5c** (Figure 5) that, in contrast to **5a,b**, is unstable at ambient temperature. Thus, the oxidation was carried out at -78 °C, and the paramagnetic species formed were investigated by variable-temperature (VT) EPR spectroscopy. The bright purple solutions of complex **5d** (Figure 5) formed by air oxidation of the products of the reaction of **1a** with BF₃•OEt₂ in a 2:1 molar ratio (vide supra) were also investigated by EPR spectroscopy. Whereas the intense dark green color of **5c** lasts only for minutes at room temperature,²⁷ diethyl ether solutions of radical **5d** remain dark purple and exhibit similar EPR spectra even after two years.

Theoretical calculations were first carried out on the D_{2d} -symmetric diamagnetic model systems {[PhB(μ -NMe)₂]₂M}-

(M = In, B) to evaluate their frontier orbitals. The HOMOs (highest-occupied molecular orbitals) of these diamagnetic anions are nondegenerate and exhibit equal delocalization of spin density over all four nitrogen centers. Thus, like 5a,b, the corresponding spirocyclic radicals { $[PhB(\mu-N^{t}Bu)_{2}]_{2}In$ } (5c) and $\{[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]_2B\}$ (5d) are expected to retain the D_{2d} symmetry of their parent diamagnetic anions in solution. The calculated structures for the model radicals ${[PhB(\mu-NMe)_2]_2M}^{\bullet}$ (M = In, B) can be compared to the structures determined for the analogous aluminum and gallium systems by X-ray crystallography.¹⁷ As expected, the M-N bond lengths in M = In and B derivatives are considerably longer (2.073 Å) and shorter (1.532 Å), respectively, than the corresponding bond lengths in M =Al or Ga derivatives.¹⁷ The geometries of the two MNBN rings are close to square planar in the all-boron system { $[PhB(\mu-NMe)_2]_2B$ } (bond angle range: ca. 85–97°), whereas the indium analogue displays distinctly asymmetric InNBN rings with very acute N–In–N bond angles (68°); the geometries of the MNBN rings in the M = AI and Ga derivatives fall between the values calculated for the indium and boron systems.¹⁷ These differences in binding of the two bam ligands to the central atom reflect the different sizes of the group 13 elements M = B, Al, Ga, and In.

Considering the composition of the SOMO (singly occupied molecular orbital) of the model radicals {[PhB(μ - $NMe_{2}^{2}M^{\bullet}$, the experimental EPR spectra of both 5c,d will exhibit hyperfine structure due to interaction of the unpaired electron with four equivalent nitrogen (¹⁴N, I = 1, 99.6%) centers. In addition, hyperfine structure due to the central metal (**5c**: ¹¹³In, $I = \frac{9}{2}$, 4.3%; ¹¹⁵In, $I = \frac{9}{2}$, 95.7%. **5d**: ¹⁰B, I = 3, 19.9%; ¹¹B, $I = \frac{3}{2}$, 80.1%) and two equivalent boron atoms of the bam ligands may be observed due to spin polarization. The experimental EPR spectra of 5c obtained at -40 °C and 5d obtained at 25 °C are shown in Figures 6a and 7a, respectively. The experimental EPR spectra are best simulated by assuming interactions of the unpaired electron with the central main group element, four equivalent nitrogen centers, and two equivalent boron atoms. The simulations shown in Figures 6b and 7b for 5c,d, respectively, were obtained by using the hyperfine coupling (hfc) constants given in Table 5, in which there is a fairly good agreement between the experimental and the calculated hfc values (Table 5). Hence, the experimental and simulated EPR spectra, together with DFT calculations, indicate that the neutral radicals { $[PhB(\mu-N^{t}Bu)_{2}]_{2}In$ } (5c) and {[PhB- $(\mu$ -N^tBu)₂]₂B} (5d) adopt spirocyclic structures in solution as depicted in Scheme 1.

⁽²⁴⁾ Chivers, T.; Fedorchuk, C.; Parvez, M. Acta Crystallogr. 2005, C61, 047.

⁽²⁵⁾ The factors that determine the outcome of the cyclodimerization of B₂N₂ rings are not well understood: Gilbert, T. M.; Gailbreath, B. D. Organometallics **2001**, *20*, 4727.

⁽²⁶⁾ Bowser, J. R.; Neilson, R. H.; Wells, R. L. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1882.

⁽²⁷⁾ After a few minutes, the EPR spectrum of **5c** is replaced by an asymmetric spectrum indicating the presence of at least two radical species. The outer ends of this spectrum exhibit a pentet with 34 G width indicating hfc to two equivalent ¹⁴N nuclei (A = 8.5 G). This pattern is repeated 10 times suggesting coupling to a single ¹¹⁵In center. No coupling to boron was resolved. Although a possible candidate for this radical is the monocyclic bam complex [PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂In][•], the calculated value of a^{115} In for this radical is much higher than the observed value of ~21 G.









Figure 7. Experimental (a) and simulated (b) X-band EPR spectra of a diethyl ether solution of $\{[PhB(\mu-N'Bu)_2]_2B\}^*$ (**5d**) at 25 °C.

The oxidation of the dilithiated Mg or Zn spirocyclic bisbam complexes **6a**,**c** (Scheme 7) was also investigated by EPR spectroscopy. Addition of 0.5 equiv of iodine to colorless solutions of **6a** or **6c** in diethyl ether at room temperature generates persistent pink or bright purple solutions, respectively (Figure 8). DFT calculations for the neutral radicals {(Et₂O)Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂M}* (**16a**, M = Mg; **16b**, M = Zn) predict *C_s*-symmetric structures and localized spin density on the bam ligand that is not coordinated to Li⁺ (see Scheme 7 and Figure 9). Consequently, the EPR spectra of both radicals are expected to show hyperfine structure resulting from interaction of the unpaired electron with two

Table 5. Experimental and Calculated Hyperfine Coupling Constants (G) for { $[PhB(\mu-NR')_2]_2M$ } (M = In, B; R' = 'Bu, Me)^a

${[PhB(\mu-NR)_2]_2M}$	isotope	n^b	exptl ($R = {}^{t}Bu$)	calcd ($R = Me$)
M = In	¹¹³ In/ ¹¹⁵ In	1	22.50/41.00	С
	^{14}N	4	4.70	4.10
	${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	2	1.70/5.18	-2.03/-6.10
M = B	${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	1	2.31/6.92	-2.81/-8.43
	^{14}N	4	5.46	4.59
	${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	2	1.85/5.56	-2.21/-6.64

^{*a*} Parameters were determined from simulations optimized to match the experimental spectra. 100% Lorentzian line shapes: g = 2.00407, M = In; g = 2.00405, M = B. ^{*b*} Number of nuclei. ^{*c*} Unavailable (see Experimental Section).



Figure 8. Photograph of diethyl ether solutions of the radicals ${(Et_2O)Li[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]_2M}^*$ (left, M = Mg, 16a; right, M = Zn, 16b).



inequivalent nitrogen atoms. In addition, hyperfine structure arising from interactions with the central metal (**16a**, ²⁵Mg, $I = \frac{5}{2}$, 10%; **16b**, ⁶⁷Zn, $I = \frac{5}{2}$, 4.1%), one boron atom, and minor contributions from the adjacent bam ligand, i.e., two equivalent nitrogen atoms and/or one boron atom, is expected due to spin polarization effects.

The experimental EPR spectrum obtained at room temperature for **16b** is shown in Figure 10a; the spectrum for **16a** exhibits a similar pattern owing to the low natural abundance of the spin-active isotopes for magnesium and zinc. Excellent simulations (Figure 10b) were obtained by using the hfc constants given in Table 6. The experimental and calculated hfc values are in close agreement. Hence, for both radicals, the EPR spectra support the prediction from DFT calculations that the unpaired electron is localized on one bam ligand. The EPR spectra for the zinc radical **16b** reveal minor interactions with the adjacent bam ligand, as well as some low-intensity satellites due to coupling of the unpaired electron to the ⁶⁷Zn nucleus.

The first indications of the formation of paramagnetic early main-group metal bam complexes came from investigations of lithium derivatives of the type 1.^{11c} It was observed that the initially colorless solutions of 1a-c became red upon



Figure 9. Optimized structure (left; bond lengths in Å, bond angles in deg) and SOMO (right; isosurface values \pm 0.06) of {(Me₂O)Li[PhB(μ -NMe)₂]₂Mg}.



Figure 10. Experimental (a) and simulated (b) X-band EPR spectra of a diethyl ether solution of $\{(Et_2O)Li[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)_2]_2Zn\}^{\bullet}$ (16b) at 25 °C.

Table 6. Experimental and Calculated Hyperfine Coupling Constants (G) for $\{(Et_2O)Li[PhB(\mu-NR')_2]_2M\}^{\bullet}$ (M = Mg, Zn; R' = 'Bu, Me)^a

$\{(Et_2O)Li[PhB(\mu\text{-}NR)_2]_2M\}$	isotope	n^b	exptl ($R = {}^{t}Bu$)	calcd ($R = Me$)
M = Mg	$^{10}B/^{11}B$	1	3.55/10.64	-4.01/-12.30
e	^{14}N	1	8.30	7.28
	^{14}N	1	8.55	7.89
	²⁵ Mg	1	1.70	1.85
	${}^{10}B/\bar{1}{}^{1}B$	1	n.o. ^{<i>c</i>}	-0.02
	14N	2	n.o.	-0.11
	7Li	1	n.o.	-0.01
M = Zn	${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	1	3.61/10.70	-4.06/-12.19
	^{14}N	1	9.15	7.97
	^{14}N	1	9.34	8.36
	⁶⁷ Zn	1	8.80	-7.82
	${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	1	n.o.	-0.01
	^{14}N	2	0.45	-0.23
	⁷ Li	1	n.o.	-0.03

^{*a*} Parameters were determined from simulations optimized to match the experimental spectra. 100% Lorentzian line shapes: g = 2.00446, M = Mg; g = 2.00526, M = Zn. ^{*b*} Number of nuclei. ^{*c*} n.o. indicates no observable hfc constant.

exposure to air. In preliminary EPR studies, only coupling to two equivalent ¹⁴N nuclei (a five-line pattern) was resolved. In the absence of resolved coupling to ⁷Li centers, it was not possible to speculate on the state of aggregation of the radical species.^{11c}



Figure 11. Experimental (a) and simulated (b) X-band EPR spectra of a diethyl ether solution of $\{[PhB(\mu-N'Bu)_2|Li(OEt_2)_x\}^{\bullet}$ (17) at -40 °C.

Scheme 8



We have now carried out the oxidation of **1a** with 0.5 equiv of iodine in diethyl ether at room temperature (Scheme 8). The bright pink species so formed is unstable at room temperature. Consequently, the solutions used for VT EPR studies were prepared at -78 °C. The EPR spectrum obtained at -40 °C is shown in Figure 11a. This spectrum is best simulated (see Table 7) with hyperfine interactions of the unpaired electron with *one* lithium atom (⁷Li, I = 3/2, 92.41%; ⁶Li, I = 1, 7.59%), two equivalent nitrogen centers, and one boron atom, suggesting the formation of the *monocyclic* radical {[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]Li(OEt₂)_x}• (**17**), in which the radical monoanion [PhB(N^tBu)₂]•⁻ is chelated to a diethyl ethersolvated lithium center.



Figure 12. Optimized structure (left; bond lengths in Å, bond angles in deg) and SOMO (right; isosurface values \pm 0.06) of {[PhB(μ -NMe)₂]Li(OMe₂)₂}.

Chart 2



stability

Table 7. Experimental and Calculated Hyperfine Coupling Constants (G) for ${[PhB(\mu-NR')_2]Li}^{\bullet}$ ($R' = {}^{'Bu}$, Me)^{*a*}

isotope	n^b	exptl ($\mathbf{R} = {}^{t}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$)	calcd ($R = Me$)
${}^{10}B/{}^{11}B$	1	3.67/11.18	-4.24/-12.72
^{14}N	2	8.51	7.59
⁷ Li	1	2.14	-2.39

^{*a*} Parameters were determined from simulations optimized to match the experimental spectra. 100% Lorentzian line shapes: g = 2.00443. ^{*b*} Number of nuclei.

The plausibility of the assignment of the experimental EPR spectrum to the monocyclic radical was supported by DFT calculations. Figure 12 shows the optimized structure and SOMO calculated for the model system {[PhB(μ -NMe)₂]Li-(OEt₂)_{*x*}}, the calculated hfc constants are listed in Table 7. The excellent match between the calculated and experimental values provides strong support for the identification of the transient radical as the monolithium species {[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]-Li(OEt₂)_{*x*}}.

Conclusions

A variety of magnesium complexes of the dianionic bam ligand have been synthesized and structurally characterized. These magnesium reagents offer a potential alternative to the widely used lithium derivatives for the transfer of bam ligands to other metal centers. In common with other early main-group metal bam complexes, these novel magnesium and zinc complexes are readily oxidized to paramagnetic species. The one-electron oxidation of the metal-coordinated bam dianion $[PhB(\mu-N^{t}Bu)_{2}]^{2-}$ to the corresponding radical anion [PhB(N^tBu)₂]^{•-} can be controlled by using the appropriate amount of iodine as the oxidizing agent. The combination of EPR spectroscopic analyses and DFT calculations provides information about both the molecular and electronic structures, e.g., spin distribution, of these paramagnetic complexes in solution. Similar studies of complexes in which the radical anion [PhB(N^tBu)₂]^{•-} is coordinated to lithium or group 13 metal centers indicate the qualitative trend in stabilities depicted in Chart 2.

For the spirocyclic systems, the higher stability of the B-, Al-, and Ga-containing radicals compared to the Mg and Zn systems can be attributed to the delocalization of the unpaired electron over both bam ligands in the group 13 complexes. The high stability of the boron-centered radical **5d** in solution is noteworthy. In contrast to **5a,b**, however, it has not yet been possible to devise a synthetic route that will allow the isolation of **5d** in the solid state. The lower stability of **5c** may result from a combination of stronger intermolecular, i.e., radical-radical, interactions as a result of the larger In center and weaker In–N bonds compared to **5a,b,d**. Intermolecular association to form larger aggregates is likely the reason for the low stability of the mononuclear Li complex.

These results suggest that redox behavior is an important feature of the chemistry of the bam ligand, as implied by the frequent observation of intensely colored solutions in the metathetical reactions of Li_2 bam reagents with main-group element halides. This fundamental difference between the behavior of main-group metal complexes of bam ligands compared to that of am ligands undoubtedly stems from the dianionic charge in the former.

Experimental Section

Reagents and General Procedures. The compounds 'BuMgCl (2.0 M solution in Et_2O), MesMgBr (1.0 M solution in Et_2O), ⁿBuMgCl (2.0 M solution in Et_2O), MeMgBr (3.0 M solution in Et_2O), MgCl₂ (anhydrous beads, 10 mesh, 99.99%), PhMgCl (2.0 M solution in THF), ^{in/s}Bu₂Mg (1.0 M solution in heptane), and BF₃•OEt₂ were commercial samples (Aldrich) and used as received. Anhydrous ZnCl₂ was prepared by heating ZnCl₂•H₂O under vacuum (150 °C, 10^{-2} Torr, 18 h). The reagents AlCl₃ and I₂ were sublimed prior to use.

The compounds Li₂[PhB(μ_3 -N'Bu)₂] (**1a**),^{11c} PhB[N(H)Dipp]₂,^{11d} and {(Et₂O) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂In} (**11c**)¹⁶ were prepared by the literature methods.

Magnesium and Zinc Boraamidinates

Solvents were dried with appropriate drying agents and distilled onto molecular sieves before use. All reactions and the manipulation of moisture- and/or air-sensitive reagents or products were carried out under an atmosphere of argon or under vacuum using standard Schlenk techniques or a glovebox. All glassware was carefully dried prior to use.

Instrumentation. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC 200 and DRX 400 spectrometers, and chemical shifts are reported relative to Me₄Si in CDCl₃. ⁷Li, ¹¹B, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker DRX 400 or a Bruker AMX2-300 spectrometer. All NMR spectra were obtained on C₆D₆ solutions at 25 °C. Chemical shifts are reported relative to those of BF₃. OEt₂ in C₆D₆, Me₄Si in CDCl₃, 1.0 M LiCl in D₂O, and CFCl₃ in C₆D₆, respectively. Infrared spectra were obtained as Nujol mulls between KBr plates on a Nicolet Nexus 470 FT-IR spectrometer in the range 4000-350 cm⁻¹. Elemental analyses were provided by the Analytical Services Laboratory of the Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary. X-band EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMX 113 spectrometer equipped with a variable-temperature accessory. EPR spectral simulations were carried out by using the XEMR v. 0.728a and WINEPR SimFonia v. 1.25^{28b} programs.

Preparation of { $(Et_2O)\mu$ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂] $_2Mg$ (6a). Method **1.** A solution of 'BuMgCl in Et₂O (2.0 M, 0.72 mL, 1.43 mmol) was added to a solution of **1a** (0.35 g, 1.43 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) at room temperature producing a white slurry that was stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in Et₂O, and concentration of the solution followed by storage at room temperature for 30 min afforded X-ray-quality colorless crystals of **6a** (0.41 g, 0.63 mmol, 89%). Complex **6a** was also prepared in the manner described above by using the Grignard reagents MesMgBr (1.0 M solution in Et₂O), "BuMgCl (2.0 M solution in Et₂O), or MeMgBr (3.0 M solution in Et₂O).

Method 2. A solution of 1a (0.75 g, 3.06 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) was added to solid MgCl₂ (0.15 g, 1.53 mmol) at -78 °C producing a dark burgundy reaction mixture, which was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 20 min and then set to reflux for 18 h. The resulting colorless solution was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was washed several times with cold *n*-hexane gave white amorphous **6a** (0.74 g, 1.14 mmol, 75%). A concentrated solution of 6a in diethyl ether stored at -15°C for 1 d provided colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₆₆B₂Li₂MgN₄O₂: C, 66.86; H, 10.29; N, 8.66. Found: C, 66.57; H, 10.86; N, 8.80. ¹H NMR: δ 7.96-7.20 (m, 10 H, -C₆H₅), 3.32 [q, 8 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O], 1.47 [s, 18 H, -C(CH₃)₃], 1.32 [s, 18 H, -C(CH₃)₃], 0.98 [t, 12 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 38 (br s). ⁷Li NMR: δ 1.44 (s). ¹³C NMR: δ four resonances in the range 150.9-125.6 (-C₆H₅), 66.0 [(CH₃CH₂)₂O], 51.0 [-C(CH₃)₃], 38.3 [-C(CH₃)₃], 37.9 [-C(CH₃)₃], 15.1 [(CH₃-CH₂)₂O].

Preparation of {(**THF**) μ -**Li**[**PhB**(μ -**N'Bu**)₂]₂**Mg** (**6b**). A solution of PhMgCl in THF (2.0 M, 0.33 mL, 0.66 mmol) was added to a solution of **1a** (0.16 g, 0.66 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) at room-temperature producing a cloudy pale yellow mixture that was stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in THF and concentration of the solution followed by storage at -15 °C for 3 d afforded colorless crystals of **6b** (0.15 g, 0.23 mmol, 71%). Anal.

Calcd for $C_{36}H_{62}B_2Li_2MgN_4O_2$: C, 67.28; H, 9.72; N, 8.71. Found: C, 66.98; H, 10.18; N, 8.66. ¹H NMR: δ 8.18–7.21 (m, 10 H, $-C_6H_5$), 3.33 [br s, 8 H, $O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2$], 1.49 [s, 18 H, $-C(CH_3)_3$], 1.35 [s, 18 H, $-C(CH_3)_3$], 1.14 [br s, 8 H, $O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2$]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 38 (br s). ⁷Li NMR: δ 1.43 (s). ¹³C NMR: δ four resonances in the range 150.0–127.5 ($-C_6H_5$), 69.3 [$O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2$], 51.0 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 50.9 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 38.1 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 38.0 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 25.6 [$O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2$]. Complex **6b** was also prepared in the manner described above by using the Grignard reagent ¹PrMgCl (2.0 M solution in THF).

Preparation of {(THF)µ-Li[PhB(µ-N^tBu)₂]}₂Zn (6c). A solution of **1a** (0.27 g, 1.12 mmol) in Et_2O (100 mL) was added to solid ZnCl₂ (0.08 g, 0.56 mmol) at -78 °C producing a dark burgundy reaction mixture, which was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 20 min and then set to reflux for 18 h. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was washed several times with cold n-hexane to give white amorphous 6c (0.20 g, 0.29 mmol, 51%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{66}B_2$ -Li₂N₄O₂Zn: C, 62.86; H, 9.67; N, 8.15. Found: C, 62.28; H, 9.98; N, 8.12. ¹H NMR: δ 7.74–7.20 (m, 10 H, $-C_6H_5$), 3.36 [q, 8 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O], 1.39 [s, 36 H, -C(CH₃)₃], 0.98 [t, 12 H, (CH₃-CH₂)₂O]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 37 (br s). ⁷Li NMR: δ 1.16 (s). ¹³C NMR: δ four resonances in the range 150.1-125.8 (-C₆H₅), 65.8 [(CH₃CH₂)₂O], 51.2 [-C(CH₃)₃], 37.6 [-C(CH₃)₃], 15.1 [(CH₃-CH₂)₂O]. A concentrated solution of **6c** in Et₂O stored at -15 °C for 6 h provided colorless crystals that were suitable for X-ray diffraction studies.

Preparation of $\{[PhB(\mu_3-N^tBu)_2](Mg^tBu)_2(\mu_3-Cl)Li(OEt_2)_3\}$ (8). A solution of 'BuMgCl in Et₂O (2.0 M, 1.93 mL, 3.85 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added to a solution of 1a (0.47 g, 1.93 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) at room temperature producing a white slurry that was stirred for 18 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was washed twice with cold *n*-hexane affording a pale yellow powder (0.58 g, 0.88 mmol, 46%). A concentrated solution of 8 in diethyl ether (1 week, -15 °C) provided colorless X-ray-quality crystals. Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{51}BClLiMg_2N_2O$ (8 – 2Et₂O): C, 61.29; H, 10.09; N, 5.50. Found: C, 61.13; H, 10.81; N, 6.09. ¹H NMR: δ 7.57-6.99 (m, 5 H, -C₆H₅), 3.21 [q, 12 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O], 1.44 [s, 18 H, -C(CH₃)₃], 1.12 [s, 18 H, -C(CH₃)₃], 0.86 [t, 18 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 39 (br s). ⁷Li NMR: δ –0.37 (s). ¹³C NMR: δ four resonances in the range $138.2 - 126.0 (-C_6H_5), 65.7 [(CH_3CH_2)_2O],$ 50.6 $[-C(CH_3)_3]$, 36.9 $[-C(CH_3)_3]$, 35.5 $[-C(CH_3)_3]$, 21.8 $[-C(CH_3)_3], 14.2 [(CH_3CH_2)_2O].$

Preparation of $\{[PhB(\mu_3-N^tBu)_2](Mg^iPr)_2(THF)_2\}$ (9a). A solution of ⁱPrMgCl in THF (2.0 M, 1.11 mL, 2.22 mmol) was added to a solution of **1a** (0.27 g, 1.11 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) at room temperature producing a white slurry that was stirred for 5 h. The reaction mixture was filtered to give a pale yellow filtrate from which the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in Et₂O and concentrated to afford 9a as colorless thin needle crystals (0.21 g, 0.41 mmol, 37%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₄₅-BMg₂N₂O (9a - THF): C, 65.96; H, 10.38; N, 6.41. Found: C, 65.41; H, 10.76; N, 6.22. ¹H NMR: δ 7.87–7.25 (m, 5 H, $-C_6H_5$), 3.59 [br m, 8 H, $O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2$], 1.75 [d, 12 H, $-CH(CH_3)_2$, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H) = 8 \text{ Hz}$], 1.27 [br m, 8 H, O(CH₂)₂(CH₂)₂], 1.17 [s, 18 H, $-C(CH_3)_3$], 0.32 [septet, 2 H, $-CH(CH_3)_2$, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H) = 8$ Hz]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 39 (br s).¹³C NMR: δ four resonances in the range 133.9-126.6 $(-C_6H_5)$, 70.5 $[O(CH_2)_2(CH_2)_2]$, 50.4 $[-C(CH_3)_3]$, 37.2 [-C(CH₃)₃], 26.4 [O(CH₂)₂(CH₂)₂], 25.7 [-CH(CH₃)₂], 9.1 $[-CH(CH_3)_2].$

Preparation of {[**PhB**(μ_3 -**N**⁴**Bu**)₂](**MgPh**)₂(**THF**)₂} (9b). A solution of PhMgCl in THF (2.0 M, 0.60 mL, 1.21 mmol) was

 ^{(28) (}a) Eloranta, J. XEMR, version 0.7; University of Jyväskylä: Jyväskylä, Finland, 2004. (b) WINEPR SimFonia, version 1.25; Bruker Analytische: Messtechnik, Germany, 1996.

Table 8. Selected Crystal Data and Data Collection Parameters for $\{(Et_2O)\mu-Li[PhB(\mu-N'Bu)_2]\}_2M$ (M = Mg, 6a; M = Zn, 6c), $\{[PhB(\mu_3-N'Bu)_2](Mg'Bu)_2(\mu_3-Cl)Li(OEt_2)_3\}$ (8), $\{[PhB(\mu-NDipp)_2]Mg(OEt_2)_2\}$ (10), and $\{[PhB(\mu-N'Bu)_2]AlCl(OEt_2)_3\}$ (12)^a

param	6a	6с	8	10^{b}	12
formula	C36H66B2Li2MgN4O2	C36H66B2Li2N4O2Zn	C34H71BClLiMg2N2O3	C ₇₆ H ₁₁₈ B ₂ Mg ₂ N ₄ O ₄	C18H33AlBClN2O
fw	646.74	687.80	657.75	1221.98	670.65
space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/n$
a, Å	9.977(2)	13.057(3)	10.142(2)	11.008(2)	11.8251(2)
b, Å	17.347(4)	17.268(4)	12.481(3)	17.524(4)	13.4014(2)
<i>c</i> , Å	24.129(5)	19.428(4)	18.091(4)	21.343(4)	14.6888(2)
α, deg	90	90	89.81(3)	111.85(3)	90
β , deg	92.00(3)	109.42(3)	81.72(3)	102.36(3)	106.965(1)
γ, deg	90	90	73.45	90.73(3)	90
V, Å ³	4173.3(1)	4131.4(1)	2170.4(7)	3713.4(2)	2226.48(6)
Ζ	4	4	2	2	4
$d_{\rm calcd}$, g cm ⁻³	1.029	1.106	1.006	1.093	1.094
μ , mm ⁻¹	0.075	0.627	0.146	0.081	0.218
F(000)	1416	1488	724	1336	792
R^c	0.0484	0.0749	0.0609	0.0754	0.0400
R_{w}^{d}	0.1166	0.1726	0.1548	0.1855	0.1137

^{*a*} Temperature = 173(2) K; wavelength = 0.710 73 Å. ^{*b*} The complex crystallizes with two independent but chemically equivalent molecules in the asymmetric unit. ^{*c*} $R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||\Sigma |F_0|$ ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$). ^{*d*} $R_w = \{[\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/[\Sigma w(F_o^2)^2]\}^{1/2}$ (all data).

added to a solution of **1a** (0.15 g, 0.61 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) at room temperature producing a cloudy pale yellow reaction mixture that was stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, isolating a pale yellow filtrate from which the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was washed twice with *n*-hexane affording **9b** as a yellow powder (0.23 g, 0.40 mmol, 66%). Thin needle crystals of **9b** were isolated from a concentrated solution in Et₂O (9 d, -15 °C). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₄₁BMg₂N₂O (**9b** – THF): C, 71.34; H, 8.18; N, 5.55. Found: C, 70.88; H, 8.24; N, 5.82. ¹H NMR: δ 8.02–7.17 (m, 15 H, $-C_6H_5$), 3.60 [br m, 8 H, O(CH₂)₂-(CH₂)₂], 1.33 [s, 18 H, $-C(CH_3)_3$], 1.09 [br m, 8 H, O(CH₂)₂-(CH₂)₂]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 40 (br s). ¹³C NMR: δ eight resonances in the range 166.3–125.8 ($-C_6H_5$), 70.0 [O(CH₂)₂(CH₂)₂], 50.7 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 37.5 [$-C(CH_3)_3$], 25.4 [O(CH₂)₂(CH₂)₂].

Preparation of {[PhB(µ-NDipp)₂]Mg(OEt₂)₂} (10). A solution of ^{n/s}Bu₂Mg in heptane (1.0 M, 3.16 mL, 3.16 mmol) was added to a solution of PhB[N(H)Dipp]₂ (1.39 g, 3.16 mmol) in *n*-hexane (150 mL) at -30 °C producing a red reaction mixture. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 20 min and then set to reflux for 18 h. Concentration of the reaction mixture followed by layering with diethyl ether and cooling (-15 °C, 2 d) gave X-rayquality colorless crystals of 10 (0.62 g, 1.01 mmol, 32%). Anal. Calcd for C38H59BMgN2O2: C, 74.70; H, 9.73; N, 4.58. Found: C, 74.11; H, 9.50; N, 4.29. ¹H NMR: δ 7.55-7.02 (m, 11 H, Ph and aryl of Dipp), 4.00 [septet, 4 H, $-CH(CH_3)_2$, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H) = 8$ Hz], 3.16 [q, 8 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O], 0.97 [d, 24 H, -CH(CH₃)₂, ³J(¹H-¹H) = 8 Hz], 0.73 [t, 12 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 31 (br s). ¹³C NMR: δ eight resonances in the range 152.5–119.4 (Ph and aryl of Dipp), 67.1 [(CH₂CH₂)₂O], 35.1 [-CH(CH₃)₂], 22.9 [-CH-(CH₃)₂], 14.6 [(CH₂CH₂)₂O]. IR (cm⁻¹): no N-H stretch.

Preparation of {[PhB(*μ*-**N'Bu**)₂**]AlCl(OEt**₂)} (12). A colorless solution of **1a** (0.46 g, 1.86 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) was added to solid AlCl₃ (0.25 g, 1.86 mmol) cooled to -78 °C producing a bright purple reaction mixture. After 15 min, the stirred reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature affording a clear colorless solution. After an additional 15 min at room temperature, a cloudy pale yellow reaction mixture was produced that was stirred for 8 h. The resulting mixture was filtered to remove LiCl. Removal of solvent in vacuo and addition of cold *n*-hexane gave a pale yellow precipitate of **12** (0.41 g, 1.11 mmol, 60%) that was washed twice with cold *n*-hexane. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₃AlClBN₂: C, 58.95; H, 9.07; N, 7.64. Found: C, 58.42; H, 9.25; N, 7.72. ¹H NMR: δ 7.63 (d, 2 H, $-C_6H_5$), 7.24 (m, 3 H, $-C_6H_5$), 3.76 [q, 4 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O], 1.22 [s, 18 H, $-C(CH_3)_3$], 0.81 [t, 6 H, (CH₃CH₂)₂O]. ¹¹B NMR: δ 36 (br s). ¹³C NMR: δ 155.0 (-C₆H₅), 132.7 (-C₆H₅), 127.4 (-C₆H₅), 126.3 (-C₆H₅), 66.4 [(CH₃CH₂)₂O], 49.7 [-*C*(CH₃)₃], 35.6 [-*C*(CH₃)₃], 15.8 [(CH₃CH₂)₂O]. X-ray-quality crystals of **12** were isolated from a concentrated solution in Et₂O (1 d, -15 °C).

Preparation of {[PhB(\mu-N^tBu)₂]₂In} • (5c). A solution of I₂ in Et₂O (0.60 mL, 0.0643 M, 0.038 mmol) was added to a colorless solution of {(Et₂O) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N^tBu)₂]₂In} (11c) (0.050 g, 0.076 mmol) in Et₂O (15 mL) at -80 °C instantly producing a dark green solution. The reaction mixture was kept at -80 °C prior to recording EPR spectra. UV-vis (Et₂O): $\lambda_{max} = 481$ (br), 646 nm (br).

Preparation of {(Et₂O)Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂Mg} (16a). A solution of I₂ in Et₂O (0.70 mL, 0.024 M, 0.017 mmol) was added to a colorless solution of {(Et₂O) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]}₂Mg (6a) (0.022 g, 0.034 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at room temperature instantly producing a bright pink solution. The resulting reaction mixture was used for EPR studies. UV-vis (Et₂O): $\lambda_{max} = 521$ (br).

Preparation of {(Et₂O)Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]₂Zn}• (16b). A solution of I₂ in Et₂O (0.84 mL, 0.044 M, 0.037 mmol) was added to a colorless solution of {(Et₂O) μ -Li[PhB(μ -N'Bu)₂]}₂Zn (6c) (0.051 g, 0.074 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at room temperature instantly producing a bright purple solution. The resulting reaction mixture was studied by EPR spectroscopy. UV-vis (Et₂O): $\lambda_{max} = 562$ (br).

Preparation of {[**PhB**(μ -**N'Bu**)₂]**Li**(**OEt**₂)_{*x*}}• (17). A solution of I₂ in Et₂O (0.84 mL, 0.044 M, 0.037 mmol) was added to a colorless solution of Li₂[PhB(μ_3 -N'Bu)₂] (1a) (0.051 g, 0.074 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at -80 °C instantly producing a pink solution. This solution was kept at -80 °C prior to recording EPR spectra.

⁽²⁹⁾ Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

Magnesium and Zinc Boraamidinates

X-ray Analyses. Relevant parameters for the data collections and crystallographic data are summarized in Table 8. Thermal ellipsoid plots are shown at the 30% probability level, and only selected carbon atoms are labeled.

Computational Details. All calculations were done with the Gaussian 03 program.²⁹ The structures of all compounds were optimized in their ground states using density functional theory. Hybrid PBE0 exchange-correlation functional³⁰ and Ahlrichs' TZVP basis sets³¹ were used in all optimizations (the corresponding ECP basis set was used for indium). Hyperfine coupling constants of paramagnetic species were calculated by single point calculations

employing the optimized geometries, PBE0 functional, TZVP basis sets, and unrestricted Kohn–Sham formalism. For ${[PhB(\mu-NMe)_2]_2In}^{\bullet}$, the use of a quasi-relativistic ECP for the heavier nucleus indium prevents the direct determination of its hfc constants using the applied method.

Acknowledgment. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), the Alberta Ingenuity Fund, Helsingin Sanomain 100-vuotissäätiö (H.M.T), and the University of Calgary.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental details of the X-ray analyses and crystallographic data in CIF format for complexes **6a,c**, **8**, **10**, and **12**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC0520014

^{(30) (}a) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 78, 1396. (c) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 105, 9982. (d) Ernzerhof, M.; Scuseria, G. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 5029.

⁽³¹⁾ The basis sets were used as they are referenced in the Turbomole 5.7.1 internal basis set library. These basis sets can be downloaded from the following: ftp://ftp.chemie.uni-karlsruhe.de/pub/basen/for free of charge. Site accessed Feb 2005.